

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/534,438	05/10/2005	Akihiro Morikawa	10873.1702USWO	4795	
5283.5 7590 92/28/2998 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KIANNI, KAVEH C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/534.438 MORIKAWA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Kianni C. Kaveh 2883 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kianni C. Kaveh. (2) Karuna Ojanen. (4)____. Date of Interview: 20 February 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: US 6371664 B1. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In response to Ms. Olanen assertion that Takahashi does not teach a groove with a wall in which adhesice is flown/adhered onto one of its surface and that the groove is in parallel with the optical devic, the examiner referred to fig.7-8, in which the adhesive would flow into the groove 35 but not som much as to overflow towrd the laser chip 7 (see col. 13. lines 54-59) and secondlythe grrove as shown is in parallel with laser device. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

O.S. Fatility and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080220